

**TO EACH MEMBER OF THE
PLANNING COMMITTEE**

17 April 2023

Dear Councillor

PLANNING COMMITTEE- TUESDAY 18 APRIL 2023

Further to the Agenda and papers for the above meeting, previously circulated, please find attached the Additional Representations Sheet.

Should you have any queries regarding the above please contact Democratic Services on
Tel: 01684 272021

Yours sincerely

Head of Democratic Services

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS SHEET

Date: 18 April 2023

The following is a list of the additional representations received since the Planning Committee Agenda was published and includes background papers received up to and including the Monday before the meeting. A general indication of the content is given but it may be necessary to elaborate at the meeting.

Item No	
5a	<p>22/00986/FUL</p> <p>Land Opposite Village Hall, Main Road, Tirley</p> <p>Drainage Update - following the submission of further drainage information, the Drainage Officer is generally now happy with the scheme.</p> <p>Environmental Health Update - the site is near to a small-scale water treatment facility. The Environmental Health Officer has looked through the history of the site and cannot see any reports of issues with regards to noise or smells within the last five years. If approved, a suitable condition should be attached to the permission similar to the following:</p> <p>"Before the development commences a scheme shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority which specifies the assessment and mitigation to be made for the control of noise and odour emanating from the nearby sewage treatment work. The assessment and scheme shall be implemented prior to use of the site. The scheme should be maintained and shall not be altered without the prior written approval of the local planning authority."</p>
5b	<p>22/00446/FUL</p> <p>Land on the West Side of Willow Bank Road, Alderton</p> <p>The Drainage Engineer has confirmed that there is nothing here of concern and the scheme is acceptable in terms of drainage, subject to an informative to explain that works over the watercourse may require consent under the Land Drainage Act, but that this is separate to the planning process. The applicant should contact floodriskmanagement@gloucestershire.gov.uk with details of the work for further advice.</p> <p>It is noted that the planning history for the site as shown in the report is in relation to the property known as 'Corner Cottage'. This was because the site was not plotted correctly on the system. This has since been rectified and Members should be aware there is no previous planning history associated with this site.</p> <p>Submission from Alderton Parish Council:</p> <p><i>Firstly, the Committee report is misleading and inaccurate.</i></p> <p><i>At 1.2 the officer claims the need for the new access is for carrying out works by Severn Trent.</i></p> <p><i>Wrong, Severn Trent have replaced the sewerage pipe which serves the village and crosses the land. They have been using the existing access and have now completed their works and vacated the site. So, this is not a justifiable reason for this new access.</i></p> <p><i>The justifications put forward by the applicant change each time a valid objection is raised.</i></p>

1. It is needed because of pipe replacement works. These are finished.

2. It is in the flood plain. This area rarely floods badly enough to prevent access through existing access.

3. The pipe relocation means they cannot get modern agricultural machinery on site. The field is an undulating scrubby field with 2 horses in it.

The Sewerage pipe running across it makes it difficult to be a viable agricultural field. Large machinery would not be required because of the size of the field and could use the existing access which could be widened if necessary.

Contrary to Policy LAN 1 - the visual attractiveness of this rural approach to the village will be destroyed by removing 60 metres of hedgerow.

Whilst we accept new hedge planting will be undertaken, this will take many years to mature.

Given the significant harm caused when there is NO need for the new access and no demonstrable benefit, the balance of harm far outweighs the benefits.

We strongly urge members to consider the location of this hedge and how any loss will greatly impact upon its principal characteristic of this rural location.

Therefore, we feel this application should be rejected. Thank you.